(August 18, 2009) (Volume 3, W-3):

From:

Campbell, Ron (JUS)

Sent:

August 18, 2009 3:04 PM

To:

Johnston, Mike P. (JUS) Borton, Daug (JUS)

Cc: Subject:

Moving of Ost Mike JACK

Mike: I know Sgt F indall was into see you last week and this week when I came back and wanted to move Cst. Jack from shift. Your response at that time was no as he had more or less been in charge of ensuring proper supervision occurred.

Since that decision was made and with the background of Cst. Jack's call to S/Sgt Kohen and Sgt Flindall's statements to Cst. Jack.

- a) his job was in jeopardy for failing to follow direction given to him on cc investigation- he answer shopped and didn't do as instructed.
- b) he would be watching his every move and documenting it
- c) charge under the HTA for driving error on the 12th of Aug
- d) Apparent discussions Sgt Flindall has asked his entire shift to monitor Jack's actions and contact him for any issues (this is also spread to platoon 8)

On the Sunday Jack called in sick and there is some talk by Platoon Sgt "B" Sgt Banbury because he called in sick he thinks he was deceifful and wants to investigate why he told a person at an incident on Saturday prior to calling in Sunday that he was not coming in and was sick. (I will look into this on Wednesday the first shift back)

It is my feeling that it is because he is feeling vulnerable as a new employee, with a language issue, and an immigrant to the country that he is feeling the stress of his supervisors comments no matter how well intentioned it is likely resulting in a poisoned work environment and or a possible H.R. complaint. I think the supervisor has lost the focus he is here to assist and correct Cst. Jack as well as discipline him for transgressions that are not learning issues. I have touched on this with Sgt Flindall and will do so again on Wednesday in private.

Mike both you and I discussed this and it appears this officer is being left on his own to fully investigate matters beyond his experience level. When Sgt Flindall came to me this was addressed as he knew it was an issue. Sgt Flindall insists he was given proper direction and fully understood the directions he just did not complete.

As per your request I followed up and updated the briefing note for A/Supt Borton and Insp. Lee, and sent a message directly to Kent Taylor asking him for a driving assessment of Jack.

I received a call back from A/Supt Borton today and he thinks some fresh eyes are needed to continue this member's evaluation and give a fresh perspective on his suitability with the OPP. I am moving him completely away from the A& B side to Platoon "D". I have discussed with Sgt. Rathbun and Sgt Smith and with the bodies coming back in Sept and the new transfer of Rowe from Haldimand in Oct each platoon will be left with 12 persons.

Platoon D gives him a new start and I am awaiting Rich Nie to awaken for shift tonight to advise him of the decision that he will be the new Coach officer for the remainder of Cst. Jack's probation. Rich is a very level headed person and by having him on the opposite side gives Jack a new start from the other side with the alignment of the A&B Sgt of not only being relatives but good friends will assist all in having an objective look at this employee.

The tentative date for the movement is the 30th of August 09. Since his 7 month evaluation will be due on the 27 Aug 09 and outline the issues from his present coach and Sgt. Although this start prior to the end the current schedule which runs to 12 Sept 09. May violate the MOU or as it is now called the collective agreement that all rest days are set in stone until the new schedule is posted. It still complies with giving him at least 7 days notice of a shift change. The new schedule will not be posted until tomorrow at Noon. So I am sure all parties will agree to

the move to give everyone a fresh start.

Ron

(August 21, 2009) (Volume 3, V-20):

From: Campbell, Ron (JUS) Sent: August 21, 2009 9:22 AM To: Johnston, Mike P. (JUS)

Subject: RE: Re; Michael Jack Platoon D

r answer to your question why was ne moved.

I had co you and A/Supt Borton regarding the driving issue. I also added my thoughts on the NCO Flindall loosing cojectivity with him. He has his shift and Sgt Banbury's shift all watching this officer and reporting any screw ups. Couple this with statements from Sgt Flindall he admits making but not in the context that Cst Jack has reported. I his job is in jeopardy.

2. he will be documenting his every move and he will be getting paper on issues that have been discussed. (this was after not following his direction on Criminal Harassment charge)

Then he screws up with the cruiser witnessed by Flindall and Payne and is given a ticket under the HTA and a 233-10.

Sgt Banbury comes to me comp aining Jack has feigned illness the next day. I investigated and thank goodness he wrote his medical issues in his daily journal Sat afternoon along with a witness who assisted him a CP office in Buckhorn the Sat afternoon. He reports this continued through the night. I really think it is stress related from the scrutiny he is under. (Banbury wanted him charged with deceit he should know all about that) In any event this s unfounded.

Finally his present coach Shawn Filman is going off on 4 months parental leave starting in Sept.

So with all the issues in the email to yourself and Doug Borton Doug Borton advised he felt the only thing to do was move him. You will note I advised this was against an earlier decision you had made but with this further info I think we were heading to an issue as Mike is basically an immigrant of Jewish background. You and I discussed we felt he was being targeted. To his own demise he has alienated his shift by not being 100% truthful when shopping for answers.

On Wednesday Mike Jack, Rob Flindall, his OPPA alternate rep. Mitch Anderson and myself sat down and all the issues surrounding Mike were discussed in his presence with OPPA rep.

Long and short Sgt Flindall was advised that supervision is an issue here. That Cst. Jack needs one on one supervision to correct the problems. Work improvement plans need to be in place and direct supervision from a coach. Both he and Mitch brought up that everything has been thrown at him at once without prior issues reported on his PCS 066. It is also apparent Cst. Jack is not following direction.

Ost lack will be given an independent assessment by Rich Nie to avoid a possible HR complaint. Interestingly Cst Jack brought up in the meeting he felt he had been left on his own to investigate matters in which he had no experience. He also brought up but refused to name officers on his shift for inappropriate remarks and berating him in front of the shift as well. In other words work place harassment and discrimination policy...I assume it is in reliation to his ethnic origin. Anyway I stressed the importance of him coming forward and have also stressed this issue to his new coach. I stressed in Rob's presence the duty of management to stop it if it occurred.

Then yesterday I got a call from Brian Gilkinson about the otter poor quality of 3 Crown briefs handed in by Cst. Jack. He stated there is no basis for a charge in any of the cases as all it is or amounts to is a collection of one interest to the alleged complainants with no basis or facts to prove the accused actually did it nor do ... the the elements of the offence. This would be for the complaint complaint complaint from the complaint of Crim.

referestingly enough Sgt Flindall had just got done complaining to rine about 8ob. L. from the court sending it can brief back saying lhere was no offence for the very same reasons that the Crown was now stating. So I brought this to his attention that again it was simply unsubstantiated rumours and investigation needed to be completed. The same goes for a brief on should be guiding this and where is the vetting of the briefs by him!!!

Sal Flindall has now taken on the responsibility of following up on both cases involving Cst. Jack's briefs and oversign one as this is as much of a screw up by him.